

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,815	05/13/2005	Kia Silverbrook	MJT010USNP	9059	
24011 7590 08/08/2007 SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD				EXAMINER	
393 DARLING STREET			STEPHENS, JUANITA DIONNE		
BALMAIN, 2041 AUSTRALIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
110011012111			2853		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			54				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/534,815	KIA SILVERBROOK				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Juanita D. Stephens	2853				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amer	ndment filed 5/28/2007.	•				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-47 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 13 May 2005 is/are: a). Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath of	vn from consideration. r election requirement. X accepted or b) □ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/534,815

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-47 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,820,967 B2. This is a double patenting rejection.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-47 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Juanita D. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-2153. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex (Monday-Thursday 9:00 am -6:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2853

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JDS

August 5, 2007

Franko. Algkis

Juanita D. Stephens
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2853